

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/661,424	09/15/2003	John W. Rich	JAM931	8095
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Sean A. Kaufhold			WATSON, ROBERT C	
P.O. Box 131447 Carlsbad, CA 92013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Carlodad, CII			3723	
			D. FD. M. W. FD. 10/01/000	

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(h)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/661,424	RICH, JOHN W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Watson	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI s, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 8 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Ap ority documents have been r ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03. 	Paper No(s)	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/661,424

Art Unit: 3723

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Canoy.

Canoy shows a hinge pin removal tool having a primary plate 12 having a front side, a back side, a top edge and a bottom edge. Note that these edges are, in some places, rounded edges. Attached to the primary plate is a rigid panel 14 having a forward end having a slot 22.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy.

Size considerations are ordinarily of no patentable significance. To merely select the sizes of the plate and panel commensurate with the size of the hinge pin to be removed would have been no more than an obvious mechanical expedient for one skilled in the art.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy in view of Bible.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,424

Art Unit: 3723

Bible teaches placing a gripping sheath around a tool plate. To provide a sheath around the tool plate of Canoy would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Bible. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the gripping of the tool by the user.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Canoy in view of Bible as above applied and further in view of Stultz et al.

Stultze et al teaches that a gripping member may be made from an elastomeric material. To make the gripping sheath in the above applied structure of Canoy in view of Bible would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Stultz et al. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a non-slip and cushioned grip for the user.

Claims 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 8 is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 703 308-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,424 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 703 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER